

# PROJECT COYOTE

F O S T E R I N G   C O E X I S T E N C E



## Statement in Opposition to Wildlife Killing Contests

*Signed by more than 70 conservation scientists*

On behalf of Project Coyote’s Science Advisory Board and the undersigned scientists, we express our support for the prohibition of wildlife killing contests—events in which participants compete to kill bobcats, coyotes, cougars, foxes, or even wolves for prizes or entertainment. These events are promoted throughout the United States.

The most general reason to prohibit wildlife killing contests is that hunters and wildlife managers believe, as a community, that killing animals without an adequate reason is unjustified and unsportsmanlike. Killing an animal for a prize or trophy constitutes killing without an adequate reason. Inasmuch as wildlife killing contests are primarily motivated by killing for a prize or trophy, they are wrong.

Some advocates of killing contests argue that they are important for achieving management objectives for other species, especially game species. There is no credible evidence that indiscriminate killing of coyotes (the most common targets of killing contests) or other predators effectively serves any genuine interest in managing other species. If leaders in the hunting and wildlife management community believe that wildlife killing contests, in general, serve important objectives, then the principles of wildlife management mandate that (1) these objectives be articulated and vetted by the best-available science, and (2) some reasonable, science-based case be made to justify a killing contest as an appropriate means for achieving these objectives. In the absence of such an evaluation, these events should be prohibited.

Advocates of wildlife killing contests might argue that they are an important means for realizing one or both of these objectives: (1) decrease the loss of livestock to depredation, and (2) increase the abundance of prey species in the interest of maximizing hunting success by humans.

With respect to objective (1), a great deal of science has been developed on how to effectively manage depredations, including both lethal and non-lethal methods. Lessons from that science include:

- (i) Indiscriminate killing is ineffective and it is plausible, perhaps likely, that when associated with a killing contest it would lead to increased risk of depredations. A primary reason for this concern is that only some, often only a few, individual predators participate in depredation. Indiscriminate and “pre-emptive” killing of predators associated with these events can lead to the disruption of predators’ social structure and foraging ecology in ways that increase the likelihood of depredations. In hunted (exploited) coyote populations, for

example, the number of surviving pups that must be fed by the alpha parents and the number of transient individuals may increase. These factors may predispose more coyotes to depredate livestock.

- (ii) The indiscriminate killing associated with a wildlife killing contest does not target: (a) the offending predator, (b) the site where depredation has occurred, and (c) the time when depredation has occurred. This renders the competitions ineffective as a means of depredation control.

While managing to reduce the loss of livestock is a common goal for all stakeholders, wildlife killing contests do not contribute to this goal and may work against it.

With respect to objective (2), a large body of science indicates that killing predators, especially under circumstances associated with killing contests, is not a reliable means of increasing ungulate abundance. The circumstances most likely to result in increased ungulate abundance are also the circumstances most likely to impair important ecosystem benefits and services that predators provide. Even when predators are killed to the point of impairing the ecosystem services, there is still no assurance that ungulate abundance will increase. The reason being is that ungulate abundance is frequently limited by factors other than predators—factors such as habitat and climate.

Beyond objectives (1) and (2), which focus on affecting game populations and livestock depredations, lies a need to better recognize and celebrate the predators' valuable contribution to the health and vitality of our ecosystems. For example, predators serve human interests through beneficial effects such as rodent control and disease prevention and promoting diverse plant communities and soil fertility. Thus, reduction of the distribution and numbers of apex predators can have detrimental ecological effects.

Some advocates of wildlife killing contests might also believe that killing coyotes is vitally important for preventing coyote populations from growing out of control. This concern is unjustified. Science demonstrates that unexploited coyote populations self-regulate their numbers by means of dominant individuals defending non-overlapping territories and suppressing subordinate pack members from breeding.

Opposition to wildlife killing contests is growing rapidly. New Mexico and Vermont abolished coyote killing contests in 2019 and 2018, respectively. The California Fish and Game Commission banned the awarding of prizes for killing furbearing and nongame animals in 2014. Local governments in Arizona, New Mexico and Wisconsin have condemned the events.

In 2018, hunter and Chairman of the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission Mike Finley condemned wildlife killing contests as “slaughter fests” and “stomach-turning examples of wanton waste.” Former President of the California Fish and Game Commission and waterfowl hunter Mike Sutton denounced the events as “unethical” and “an anachronism [with] no place in modern wildlife management.” The Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department stated, “coyote hunting contests are not only ineffective at controlling coyote populations, but these kinds of competitive coyote hunts are raising concerns on the part of the public and could possibly jeopardize the future of hunting and affect access to private lands for all hunters.” The Wildlife Society issued a position statement in 2019 recognizing that “while species

killed in contests can be legally killed in most states, making a contest of it may undermine the public's view of ethical hunting" and discouraging "contests that portray hunting in an unethical fashion."

**John A. Vucetich, PhD**

Houghton, MI  
Associate Professor  
School of Forest Resources and Environmental Science  
Michigan Technological Univ.  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**David Parsons, MS**

Albuquerque, NM  
Carnivore Conservation Biologist, Rewilding Institute  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Robert Crabtree, PhD**

Victoria, British Columbia  
Founder & Chief Scientist Yellowstone Ecological Research Center  
Research Associate Professor, Department of Ecosystem and Conservation Science, University of Montana  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Michael Paul Nelson, PhD**

Corvallis, OR  
Professor, and Ruth H. Spaniol Chair of Renewable Resources  
Oregon State University  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Michael Soulé, PhD**

*In Memorium*  
Paonia, CO  
Professor Emeritus  
Dept. Environmental Studies, University of California, Santa Cruz  
Co-founder, Society for Conservation Biology  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Paul Paquet, PhD**

Meacham, Saskatchewan  
Senior Scientist Carnivore Specialist, Raincoast Conservation Foundation  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Jeremy T. Bruskotter, PhD**

Columbus, Ohio  
Associate Professor School of Environment & Natural Resources  
The Ohio State University  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Marc Bekoff, PhD**

Boulder, CO  
Professor Emeritus, University of Colorado, Boulder  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Bradley J. Bergstrom, PhD**

Valdosta, GA  
Professor of Biology, Valdosta State University  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Shelley M. Alexander, PhD**

Calgary, Alberta  
Associate Professor, Geography, University of Calgary  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Adrian Treves, PhD**

Madison, WI  
Associate Professor  
University of Wisconsin-Madison  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**John Hadidian, PhD**

Gaithersburg, MD  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Rick Hopkins, PhD**

San Jose, CA  
Principal and Senior Conservation Biologist  
Live Oak Associates, Inc.  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Joanna Lambert, PhD, FLS**

Professor, Environmental Studies Program  
Faculty, Ecology & Evolutionary Biology  
University of Colorado - Boulder  
Boulder, CO

**Jennifer Wolch, PhD**

Berkeley, CA  
Dean, College of Environmental Design  
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Becky Weed, MS**

Belgrade, MT  
Thirteen Mile Lamb and Wool Co.  
Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Chris Schadler, MS, MA**

Webster, NH  
Wild Canid Specialist  
NH & VT Rep., Project Coyote

**William J. Ripple, PhD**

Portland, OR  
Distinguished Professor of Ecology  
Oregon State University

**Paul Beier, PhD**

Flagstaff, AZ  
Regents' Professor, School of Forestry, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff AZ  
Past President, Society for Conservation Biology

**David Mattson, PhD**

Livingston, MT  
Lecturer and Senior Visiting Scientist, Yale School of Forestry & Environmental Studies  
USGS Colorado Plateau Research Station Leader (retired)  
USGS Research Wildlife Biologist (retired)  
Past Western Field Director, MIT-USGS Science Impact Collaborative

**Melissa Savage, PhD**

Los Angeles, CA  
Professor Emerita  
University of California, Los Angeles

**Philip Hedrick PhD**

Tempe, AZ  
Ullman Professor of Conservation Biology  
Arizona State University

**Megan Isadore**

Forest Knolls, CA  
Co-founder and Executive Director  
River Otter Ecology Project  
Member, IUCN Otter Specialist Group  
Founder, Good Riddance! Wildlife Exclusions, LLC

**David Fraser, PhD**

Vancouver, Canada  
Professor  
University of British Columbia

**Bernard E. Rollin, PhD**

Fort Collins, CO  
University Distinguished Professor

Professor of Philosophy  
Professor of Animal Sciences  
Professor of Biomedical Sciences  
University Bioethicist

**Malcolm R. MacPherson, PhD**

Santa Fe, NM  
Retired Scientist  
Member AAAS and the Society for Conservation Biology

**Bob Ferris, MA**

Eugene, OR  
Executive Director, Cascadia Wildlands

**Simon Gadbois, PhD**

Halifax, NS, Canada  
Director of the Canid Behaviour Research Team  
Dalhousie University, Canada

**Zoë Jewell, MA, MSc, Vet. MB, MRCVS**

Sydney, Australia  
Adjunct Faculty, Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University  
Associate Academic, Center for Compassionate Conservation,  
University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

**Chris Dairmont, PhD**

Victoria, BC  
Hakai-Raincoast Professor  
University of Victoria

**Dale Jamieson, PhD**

New York, NY  
Professor of Environmental Studies, Philosophy, and Bioethics, Affiliated Professor of Law, Director of  
the Animal Studies Initiative  
New York University

**Kevin Crooks, PhD**

Fort Collins, CO  
Monfort Professor, Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Conservation Biology  
Colorado State University

**William Lynn, PhD**

Marlborough, MA  
Research Scientist  
Marsh Institute, Clark University

**Jonathan Way, PhD**

Osterville, MA  
Eastern Coyote Research  
Research Scientist, Clark University

**Geri T. Vistein, MS**

Belfast, Maine  
Carnivore Conservation Biologist  
Executive Director and Founder, Coyote Center for Carnivore Ecology and Coexistence

**Lisa Micheli, PhD**

Santa Rosa, CA  
Executive Director  
Pepperwood's Dwight Center for Conservation Science

**Winston Thomas, PhD**

Founder and CEO, Canine Genetics, LLC  
San Mateo, CA

**Megan M. Draheim, PhD**

Washington, DC  
Visiting Associate Professor  
Virginia Tech Center for Leadership in Global Sustainability  
Director, The District Coyote Project

**Stephen F. Stringham, PhD**

Soldotna, AK  
Predator Biologist  
President, WildWatch Consulting  
Chair, Advisory Committee, BEAR League

**Bonny Laura Schumaker, PhD**

La Canada, CA  
Physicist & Technical Manager, Retired  
(Theoretical Astrophysics and Remote Sensing)  
California Institute of Technology / Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
Founder and President, OnWingsOfCare.org

**Rolf Peterson, PhD**

Robbins Professor of Sustainable Environmental Management  
School of Forest Resources and Environmental Science  
Michigan Technological University

**David Johns, PhD**

Hatfield School of Government  
Portland State University  
Portland, OR

**Thomas L. Serfass, PhD**

Frostburg, Maryland

Professor of Wildlife Ecology and Chair, Department of Biology and Natural Resources

North American Coordinator, IUCN Otter Specialist Group

Frostburg State University

**Robert Schmidt, PhD**

Salt Lake City, UT

Associate Professor, Dept. Environment and Society

Utah State University

**Arnold Newman, PhD, Executive Director**

Sherman Oaks, CA

The International Society for the Preservation of the Tropical Rainforest

**Susan E. Townsend, PhD**

Oakland, CA

Wildlife Ecology and Consulting

**Ian R. MacDonald, PhD**

Tallahassee, FL

Florida State University

**Martin B. Main, PhD**

Gainesville, FL

Professor, Wildlife Ecology and Conservation

Associate Dean and Program Leader, Natural Resources Extension

University of Florida

**Guillaume Chapron, PhD**

Sweden

Associate Professor

Grimsö Wildlife Research Station

Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

**Jill Sideman, PhD**

Tiburon, California

Environmental Management Consultant

**Richard P. Reading, PhD**

Denver, CO

Department of Conservation Biology

Denver Zoological Foundation

**José Vicente López-Bao, PhD**

Spain

Research Unit of Biodiversity (UO/CSIC/PA)



Oviedo University

**Francisco J. Santiago-Ávila, MEM, MPP**

Madison, WI  
Graduate Research Scholar, PhD Candidate  
Carnivore Conservation Lab  
University of Wisconsin - Madison

**Alexandra Pineda Guerrero, MS**

PhD Student, Environment & Resources  
Carnivore Coexistence Lab  
Nelson Institute for Environmental Studies  
University of Wisconsin-Madison

**Miha Krofel, PhD**

Slovenia  
Assistant Professor and Wildlife Researcher  
University of Ljubljana  
Biotechnical Faculty, Department for Forestry and Renewable Forest Resources

**Brian Schuh, MS**

Madison, WI  
Carnivore Coexistence Lab  
University of Wisconsin - Madison

**Andrés Ordiz, PhD**

Norway  
Faculty of Environmental Sciences and Natural Resources Management  
Norwegian University of Life Sciences

**Alejandra Zarzo-Arias, PhD**

Spain  
Research Unit of Biodiversity (UO/CSIC/PA)  
University of Oviedo

**Jennifer A. Leonard, PhD**

Seville, Spain  
Doñana Biological Research Station  
Spanish National Research Council

**Jorge Echegaray, MSc**

Spain  
Wildlife Researcher for Spanish Conservationist NGOs  
Director of the Project "Wolf in the Basque Country"

**Bridgett M. vonHoldt, PhD**

Princeton, NJ  
Assistant Professor  
Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology  
Princeton University

**Carles Vilà, PhD**

Seville, Spain  
Doñana Biological Station  
Spanish National Research Council (CSIC)

**Klaus-Peter Koepfli, PhD**

Washington, D.C.  
Conservation Biologist  
Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute

**Robert Long, PhD**

Seattle, WA  
Senior Conservation Scientist  
Woodland Park Zoo

**Alberto Fernández-Gil, PhD**

Estación Biológica de Doñana (CSIC)  
Spain

**Rich Bard**

Portland, ME  
Wildlife Biologist

**Franz Camenzind, PhD**

Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

**Brad Purcell, PhD**

Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote  
Australia  
The Dingo Tracker – Wildlife & Ecological Consulting

**Chris Mowry, PhD**

Mt. Berry, GA  
Associate Professor of Biology  
Berry College  
Department of Biology

**Ryan Bell, MA Biology**

Phoenix, AZ  
Miami University

**John Miles, PhD**

Bellingham, WA  
Professor Emeritis  
Huxley School of the Environment  
Western Washington University

**Susan Morgan, PhD**

Arroyo Seco, NM  
President  
The Rewilding Institute

**Omar Ohrens, PhD**

Madison, WI  
Visiting Assistant Professor  
Carnivore Coexistence Lab  
University of Wisconsin – Madison

**Alejandro Estrada, PhD**

Instituto de Biologia  
Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico

**Amanda Stronza, PhD**

Anthropologist and Professor  
Ecology and Conservation Biology  
Joint Appointment in Rangeland, Wildlife and Fisheries Management  
Co-Director | Applied Biodiversity Science Program  
TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY  
Faculty Affiliate, Human Dimensions of Natural Resources  
COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY  
Co-Founder, Ecoexist Project, Botswana

**Herbert H. Covert, PhD**

Professor of Anthropology  
Director, Baker Residential Academic Program  
University of Colorado Boulder  
Boulder, Colorado  
Member of the Executive Committee of the IUCN/SSC Primate Specialist Group  
Director, Baker Residential Academic Program  
Professor of Anthropology, CB 233  
University of Colorado Boulder

**Michelle Sauther, PhD**

Boulder, CO  
Professor, Faculty in the Department of Anthropology  
University of Colorado Boulder

**Joel Berger, PhD**

Professor, Department of FWCB  
Barbara Cox Anthony University Chair in Wildlife Conservation  
Colorado State University

**Colin Chapman, PhD**

Department of Anthropology  
Center for the Advanced Study of Human Paleobiology  
Royal Society of Canada, Killam Fellowship  
Conservation Fellow WCS  
Velan Humanitarian Award  
The George Washington University

**Paul Alan Garber, PhD**

Professor Emeritus  
Department of Anthropology  
Program in Ecology, Evolution, and Conservation Biology  
University of Illinois

**Thomas H. Struhsaker, PhD**

Department of Evolutionary Anthropology  
Duke University

**Michelle L. Lute, PhD**

Santa Fe, NM  
National Carnivore Conservation Manager  
Project Coyote

\*\*\*\*\*

**Appendix A. Additional Literature Cited**

Here we provide additional scientific explanation (with citations) for two ideas expressed in this letter.

**(1) Some advocates of wildlife killing contests (WKC) believe they are necessary or beneficial for effective management of livestock depredation.** We indicated that WKC are unlikely to have this effect. The reason why is that most individual predators do not participate in livestock depredations (Gipson 1975; Knowlton et al. 1999; Sacks et al. 1999a, 1999b; Linnell et al. 1999; Stahl and Vandel 2001; Blejwas et al. 2002; Treves et al. 2002; Treves and Naughton-Treves 2005). Consequently, effective management of depredation requires (1) targeting the offending individual(s), and (2) intervening close to the site where the depredations occurred as well as responding in a timely manner (Gipson 1975; Sacks et al. 1999a, 1999b; Smith et al. 2000; Bangs and Shivik 2001). WKC do not represent the kind of targeted effort required for effective management of livestock depredations.

Moreover, indiscriminate killing of predators is likely to exacerbate risks to livestock. The reason is that killing social carnivores like coyotes (and wolves) can lead to the disruption of predators' social and foraging ecology in ways that increase the number of transient individuals (Bjorge and Gunson 1985; Haber 1996; Treves and Naughton-Treves 2005; Brainerd et al. 2008). These transient individuals that have not been acculturated (aversively conditioned) to living in areas with livestock may be more likely to kill livestock. Studies by USDA's Wildlife Services clearly indicate that many, if not most, depredations are inflicted by the breeders (i.e., alphas) in coyote social groups (Knowlton et al. 1999; Sacks et al.

1999b). Even if the offending individuals are removed, they can be replaced by other members of the social group or from populations outside the area where the WKC is occurring. In some cases, this can also increase reproductive performance in coyotes (Crabtree and Sheldon 1999; Knowlton et al. 1999). Scientific evidence is increasingly suggesting that harvesting predators can exacerbate losses to livestock (Collins et al. 2002; Treves et al. 2010, Peebles et al. 2013, Wielgus and Peebles 2014).

**(2) Some advocates of wildlife killing contests believe they are necessary or beneficial for increasing the abundance of ungulate populations. We had indicated in our letter that WKC's are unlikely to have that effect.** The reason why is two-fold:

- (i) Killing predators cannot result in increased ungulate abundance in cases where the ungulate population is not limited by predators, but is instead limited by other factors, such as climatic conditions or food availability (Sæther 1997; Forchhammer et al. 1998; Coulson et al. 2000; Parker et al 2009). Without careful study, the claim that killing predators will improve wild ungulate populations is simply an unsupported assumption. Moreover, scientists are not good at understanding the conditions that cause a population to be limited by predators as opposed to other factors (Vucetich et al. 2005; Wilmers et al. 2006). For example, an experimental study in Idaho (Hurley et al. 2011) found that annual removal of coyotes was not an effective method to increase mule deer populations because coyote removal increased neonate fawn survival only under particular combinations of prey densities and weather conditions.
- (ii) Even in cases where predators do limit prey abundance, human-caused mortality (HCM) could only lead to an increase in prey abundance if the rate of HCM was sufficient to result in a significant reduction in predator abundance. Human-caused mortality is not a reliable means of reducing coyote abundance unless the rate of HCM exceeds 70% (Connolly and Lonhurst 1975). It is difficult to imagine that any set of WKC's would be intense enough or frequent enough to result in that rate of HCM.

Finally, the interest of some advocates of WKC's (i.e., increased ungulate abundance) is antithetical to good natural resource management practices in cases where increased ungulate abundances present a risk of overbrowsing (e.g., Côté et al. 2004).

Thank you for allowing us to further explain ourselves. If additional explanation on this or any other topic would be of value, please let us know. We would be eager to provide any such explanations.

#### **Citations**

- Bangs, E., & Shivik, J. A. (2001). Managing wolf conflict with livestock in the northwestern United States. USDA National Wildlife Research Center-Staff Publications, 550.
- Blejwas K.M., Sacks B.N., Jaeger M.M., McCullough D.R. (2002). The effectiveness of selective removal of breeding coyotes in reducing sheep predation. *J Wildl Manage* 66, 451-462.
- Brainerd, S. M., Andrén, H., Bangs, E. E., Bradley, E. H., Fontaine, J. A., Hall, W. & Wydeven, A. P. (2008). The effects of breeder loss on wolves. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, 72(1), 89-98.
- Bjorge, R. R., and J. R. Gunson. (1985). Evaluation of wolf control to reduce cattle predation in Alberta. *Journal of Range Management* 38:483-486.
- Collins, G.H., R. B. Wielgus, And G. M. Koehler. (2002). Effects of sex and age on American black bear conifer damage and control. *Ursus* 13:231–236.
- Connolly, G. E., and W. M. Longhurst. (1975). The effects of control on coyote populations: A simulation model. Division Agricultural Science, University of California, Davis, Bulletin 1872.
- Côté, S. D., Rooney, T. P., Tremblay, J. P., Dussault, C., & Waller, D. M. (2004). Ecological impacts of deer overabundance. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 113-147.
- Coulson, T., Milner–Gulland, E. J., & Clutton–Brock, T. (2000). The relative roles of density and climatic variation on population dynamics and fecundity rates in three contrasting ungulate species. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 267(1454), 1771-1779.

Crabtree, R. L., and J. W. Sheldon. (1999). Coyotes and canid coexistence. In *Carnivores in ecosystems: The Yellowstone experience*, ed. T. W. Clark et al., 127–163. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Forchhammer, M. C., Stenseth, N. C., Post, E., & Landvatn, R. (1998). Population dynamics of Norwegian red deer: density–dependence and climatic variation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 265(1393), 341-350.

Gipson P.S. (1975). Efficiency of trapping in capturing offending coyotes. *Wildlife Management* 39, 45-47.

Knowlton F.F., E. M. Gese, Jaeger M.M. (1999). Coyote depredation control: An interface between biology and management. *Journal of Range Management* 52, 398-412.

Haber, G. C. (1996). Biological, conservation, and ethical implications of exploiting and controlling wolves. *Conservation Biology* 10:1068-1081.

Linnell J.D.C., Odden J., Smith M.E., Aanes R., Swenson J.E. (1999). Large carnivores that kill livestock: do problem individuals really exist? *Wildl Soc Bull* 27, 698-705.

Parker, K. L., Barboza, P. S., & Gillingham, M. P. (2009). Nutrition integrates environmental responses of ungulates. *Functional Ecology*, 23(1), 57-69.

Peebles, K. A., R. B. Wielgus, B. T. Maletzke, And M. E. Swanson. (2013). Effects of remedial sport hunting on cougar complaints and livestock depredations. *PloS ONE*. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0079713.

Ritchie EG, Elmhagen B, Glen AS, Letnic M, Ludwig G, McDonald RA. (2012). Ecosystem restoration with teeth: what role for predators? In: *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 27(5):265-271.

Sacks B.N., Blejwas K.M., Jaeger M.M. (1999a). Relative vulnerability of coyotes to removal methods on a northern California ranch. *J Wildl Manage* 63, 939-949;

Sacks, B. N., M. M. Jaeger, J. C. C. Neale, and D. R. McCullough. (1999). Territoriality and breeding status of coyotes relative to sheep predation. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 63:593-605.

Sæther, B. E. (1997). Environmental stochasticity and population dynamics of large herbivores: a search for mechanisms. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 12(4), 143-149.

Smith, M. E., Linnell, J. D., Odden, J., & Swenson, J. E. (2000). Review of methods to reduce livestock depredation II. Aversive conditioning, deterrents and repellents. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A-Animal Science*, 50(4), 304-315

Stahl P., Vandel J.M. (2001). Factors influencing lynx depredation on sheep in France: Problem individuals and habitat. *Carnivore Damage Prevention News* 4, 6-8.

Treves A., Naughton-Treves L. (2005). Evaluating lethal control in the management of human-wildlife conflict. pp. 86-106 in R. Woodroffe, S. Thirgood, A. Rabinowitz editors. *People and Wildlife, Conflict or Coexistence*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Treves, A., R. L. Jurewicz, L. Naughton-Treves, R. A. Rose, R. C. Willging, and A. P. Wydeven. (2002). Wolf depredation on domestic animals: control and compensation in Wisconsin, 1976-2000. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 30:231-241.

Treves, A., K. J. Kapp, And D. Macfarland. (2010). American black bear nuisance complaints and hunter take. *Ursus* 21:30–42. doi: 10.2192/09gr012.1

Vucetich, J. A., Smith, D. W., & Stahler, D. R. (2005). Influence of harvest, climate and wolf predation on Yellowstone elk, 1961-2004. *Oikos*, 111(2), 259-270.

Wielgus, R. B. And K. A. Peebles. (2014). Effects of Wolf Mortality on Livestock Depredations. *PLoS ONE* 9(12): e113505. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113505.

Wilmers, C. C., Post, E., Peterson, R. O., & Vucetich, J. A. (2006). Predator disease outbreak modulates top-down, bottom-up and climatic effects on herbivore population dynamics. *Ecology Letters*, 9(4), 383-389.