

Education Advocacy Coalition

for Students with Disabilities

HOUSE WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE

HOUSE BILL 1468: EDUCATION—STUDENT BEHAVIOR—PARENT NOTICE AND REQUIRED COUNSELING (PARENT ACCOUNTABILITY ACT)

DATE March 4, 2020

POSITION: OPPOSE

The Education Advocacy Coalition for Students with Disabilities (EAC), a coalition of more than 25 organizations and individuals concerned with education policy for students with disabilities in Maryland, opposes House Bill 1468, which would require the parent of a student who engages in “two or more incidents of violent and disruptive behavior on school premises or during school-related activities during a school year” to seek and participate in counseling with the student and to make it unlawful for parents to fail to do so. The EAC has a number of concerns about HB 1468.

First, the bill fails to define “violent and disruptive behavior.” Without a definition, school principals would have complete discretion to define the term as broadly as they wish. Secondly, the bill fails to take into account that many parents who do want community counseling or other mental health services for their children are unable to access them because of insurance barriers or limited availability of providers.

Most significantly, however, EAC members have extensive experience representing or working with students with disabilities such as autism, emotional, intellectual or behavioral disabilities, traumatic brain injury, or other disabilities that may cause the student to engage in challenging behavior for which counseling is simply not an appropriate intervention and which actually may be counterproductive. For example, a nonverbal student with a significant intellectual disability who demonstrates aggressive behavior in school will not benefit from traditional verbal counseling services. Making the student sit with a counselor may be frustrating and exacerbate the student’s behavior.

Further, in many situations in which a student does have challenging behavior, the student’s individualized education program may not contain appropriate goals and objectives or a behavior intervention plan reasonably designed to address the behavior and support the child. House Bill 1468 would set up a situation in which students with disabilities and their parents may be penalized for the failure of the school system to provide appropriate services to address the student’s behavior. This is insupportable.

For all of these reasons, the EAC strongly opposes House Bill 1468. For more information, please contact Leslie Seid Margolis, Chairperson, at 410-727-6352, ext. 2505, or lesliem@disabilityrightsmd.org

Respectfully submitted,

Selene Almazan
Selene Almazan Law, LLC

Rene Averitt-Sanzone
Parents' Place of Maryland

Ellen A. Callegary
Law Offices of Ellen A. Callegary, P.A.

Michelle Davis
ABCs for Life Success

Sarah Davis
Parent Advocacy Consortium

Jennifer Engel Fisher
Weinfeld Education Group

Lisa Frank
Special Kids Company

Ann Geddes
Maryland Coalition of Families

Kalman Hettleman
Independent Advocate

Morgan Horvath
Abilities Network

Nicole A. Joseph
Nicole Joseph Law

Rachel London
Maryland Developmental Disabilities Council

Leslie Seid Margolis
Disability Rights Maryland

Ellen O'Neill
Atlantic Seaboard Dyslexia Education Center

Melissa Riccobono
Maryland Parents of Blind Children
National Federation of the Blind of Maryland

Rebecca Rienzi
Pathfinders for Autism

Lori Scott
The Arc Maryland

Monica Simpkins
Learning Disabilities Association of Maryland

Karleen Spitulnik
Decoding Dyslexia Maryland

Ronnetta Stanley
Loud Voices Together

Wayne Steedman
Steedman Law Group, LLC

Maureen van Stone
Project HEAL at Kennedy Krieger Institute

Jessica Williams
Education Due Process Solutions, LLC