



LEGISLATIVE POSITION:

Unfavorable

Senate Bill 24

Small Business Fairness Act

Senate Budget and Taxation Committee

Thursday, January 9, 2020

Dear Chairman Guzzone and Members of the Committee:

Senate Bill 24 would require businesses in retail and food services to compute their taxes using the combined reporting method—a highly complex system of determining taxable income among all states in which a company does business.

Over the last decade, combined reporting has been exhaustively researched and debated among policymakers in Annapolis and across the state. The prevailing sentiment remains that combined reporting is not an appropriate or accurate method of computing state taxable income or attributing multistate business income to economic activity in Maryland. In fact, a combined reporting system would result in significant and unintended negative consequences for business taxpayers, including competitive disadvantage, undue complexity and administrative burden, all while resulting in no guaranteed increase to state revenue.

Combined reporting will not increase state tax revenue. Proponents of combined reporting contend that it will raise millions in additional tax revenue, but there is no data to support that argument. In fact, under the previous administration, Maryland's own Business Tax Reform Commission found that instituting combined reporting "would result in a shift of the tax burden, substantial in some cases, among industries and among taxpayers, resulting in winners and losers." The Commission explained further that the reasons cited in support of combined reporting have each been addressed through other legislative vehicles adopted by the General Assembly and tougher audit methods now utilized by the Comptroller's Office.

Since 2004, the Comptroller's Office has utilized two provisions of the State's Tax Statute to correct perceived abuses of intercompany/interstate transactions. The first is the "add-back" provision that disallows deductions for certain expenses paid to related corporations in other states. The second are provisions granting the Comptroller discretionary powers to adjust amounts of income and expenses between related corporations.

Combined reporting would have a negative impact on Maryland's economy since its adoption may, in practice, increase effective corporate income tax rates. For example, even if its proponents were correct in arguing that combined reporting would result in an increase in net corporate tax revenue, there will be significant increases and decreases in tax liabilities for specific businesses, thereby resulting in winners and losers. What is more, any resulting tax

increase will ultimately be felt most by in-state consumers, who will contend with higher prices for goods and services, and by labor through fewer jobs and/or lower wages over time.

Combined reporting presents a real competitive disadvantage for Marylanders. Within the region, many of our neighboring states—including Virginia, Pennsylvania and Delaware—do not utilize the mandatory combined reporting method. As a result, it would be detrimental for Maryland to employ a new taxation system that will harm the attraction and retention of businesses, and cost Marylanders access to more jobs and economic opportunities.

For these reasons, the Maryland Chamber of Commerce respectfully requests an **unfavorable report** on **SB 24**.

