

HOUSE BILL 1512

M3

4r3540
CF 4r3541

By: **Delegates Adams and Hutchinson**

Introduced and read first time: February 22, 2024

Assigned to: Rules and Executive Nominations

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 **Bay Restoration Fund – Use of Funds – Municipal Wastewater Facilities –**
3 **Sunset Repeal**

4 FOR the purpose of repealing the termination date for certain provisions of law that expand
5 the authorized uses of the Bay Restoration Fund to include certain costs associated
6 with the connection of a property using an on-site sewage disposal system to a
7 certain existing municipal wastewater facility; and generally relating to the Bay
8 Restoration Fund.

9 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
10 Article – Environment
11 Section 9–1605.2(h)(1), (2), and (5)
12 Annotated Code of Maryland
13 (2014 Replacement Volume and 2023 Supplement)

14 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
15 Chapter 413 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2020
16 Section 2

17 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
18 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

19 **Article – Environment**

20 9–1605.2.

21 (h) (1) With regard to the funds collected under subsection (b)(1)(i)1 of this
22 section from users of an on-site sewage disposal system or holding tank that receive a water
23 bill and subsection (b)(1)(i)2 and 3 of this section, beginning in fiscal year 2006, the
24 Comptroller shall:

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 (i) Establish a separate account within the Bay Restoration Fund;
2 and

3 (ii) Disburse the funds as provided under paragraph (2) of this
4 subsection.

5 (2) The Comptroller shall:

6 (i) Deposit 60% of the funds in the separate account to be used for:

7 1. Subject to paragraphs (3), (4), (5), and (6) of this
8 subsection, with priority first given to failing systems and holding tanks located in the
9 Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area and then to failing systems that the
10 Department determines are a threat to public health or water quality, grants or loans for
11 up to 100% of:

12 A. The costs attributable to upgrading an on-site sewage
13 disposal system to the best available technology for the removal of nitrogen;

14 B. The cost difference between a conventional on-site sewage
15 disposal system and a system that utilizes the best available technology for the removal of
16 nitrogen;

17 C. The cost of repairing or replacing a failing on-site sewage
18 disposal system with a system that uses the best available technology for nitrogen removal;

19 D. The cost, up to the sum of the costs authorized under item
20 B of this item for each individual system, of replacing multiple on-site sewage disposal
21 systems located in the same community with a new community sewerage system that is
22 owned by a local government and that meets enhanced nutrient removal standards; or

23 E. The cost, up to the sum of the costs authorized under item
24 C of this item for each individual system, of connecting a property using an on-site sewage
25 disposal system to an existing municipal wastewater facility that is achieving, or has signed
26 a funding agreement with the Department and is under construction to achieve, enhanced
27 nutrient removal or biological nutrient removal level treatment, including payment of the
28 principal, but not interest, of debt issued by a local government for such connection costs;

29 2. The reasonable costs of the Department, not to exceed 8%
30 of the funds deposited into the separate account, to:

31 A. Implement an education, outreach, and upgrade program
32 to advise owners of on-site sewage disposal systems and holding tanks on the proper
33 maintenance of the systems and tanks and the availability of grants and loans under item
34 1 of this item;

1 B. Review and approve the design and construction of on-site
2 sewage disposal system or holding tank upgrades;

3 C. Issue grants or loans as provided under item 1 of this item;
4 and

5 D. Provide technical support for owners of upgraded on-site
6 sewage disposal systems or holding tanks to operate and maintain the upgraded systems;

7 3. A portion of the reasonable costs of a local public entity
8 that has been delegated by the Department under § 1-301(b) of this article to administer
9 and enforce environmental laws, not to exceed 10% of the funds deposited into the separate
10 account, to implement regulations adopted by the Department for on-site sewage disposal
11 systems that utilize the best available technology for the removal of nitrogen;

12 4. Subject to paragraph (7) of this subsection, financial
13 assistance to low-income homeowners, as defined by the Department, for up to 50% of the
14 cost of an operation and maintenance contract of up to 5 years for an on-site sewage
15 disposal system that utilizes nitrogen removal technology;

16 5. Subject to paragraph (8) of this subsection, a local
17 jurisdiction to provide financial assistance to eligible homeowners for the reasonable cost
18 of pumping out an on-site sewage disposal system, at least once every 5 years, unless a
19 more frequent pump out schedule is recommended during an inspection, not to exceed 10%
20 of the funds allocated to the local jurisdiction; and

21 6. In fiscal years 2020 and 2021, financial assistance to a
22 local jurisdiction for the development of a septic stewardship plan that meets the
23 requirements under paragraph (8)(iii)2 of this subsection; and

24 (ii) Transfer 40% of the funds to the Maryland Agriculture Water
25 Quality Cost Share Program in the Department of Agriculture in order to fund cover crop
26 activities.

27 (5) Funding for the costs identified in paragraph (2)(i)1E of this subsection
28 may be provided only if all of the following conditions are met:

29 (i) The environmental impact of the on-site sewage disposal system
30 is documented by the local government and confirmed by the Department;

31 (ii) It can be demonstrated that:

32 1. The replacement of the on-site sewage disposal system
33 with service to an existing municipal wastewater facility that is achieving, or has signed a
34 funding agreement with the Department and is under construction to achieve, enhanced
35 nutrient removal or biological nutrient removal level treatment is more cost-effective for
36 nitrogen removal than upgrading the individual on-site sewage disposal system; or

