

Department of Legislative Services
Maryland General Assembly
2022 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE
First Reader

House Bill 977

(Delegate Kittleman, *et al.*)

Economic Matters

Prevailing Wage Rate – Public School Construction – Moratorium

This bill exempts elementary or secondary schools constructed by or for a public body from paying prevailing wages if construction begins, and the construction contract is entered into, between October 1, 2022, and October 30, 2027. **The bill takes effect July 1, 2022.**

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: No effect on total State funding for public school construction, which is established annually through the capital budget process. Any decrease in the State share of individual school construction projects results in available funds being redirected to other school construction projects; more projects may receive funding in a given year. The magnitude of any reduction in the number of prevailing wage projects is not expected to be sufficient to affect staffing or resources needed for enforcement. Revenues are not affected.

Local Effect: To the extent that the cost of individual school construction projects decreases, local capital funding that would have otherwise been used for those projects may be reallocated to other local projects. Therefore, there is likely no net effect on total local funding or expenditures for capital construction.

Small Business Effect: Meaningful.

Analysis

Current Law: For an overview of the State’s prevailing wage requirements, please see the **Appendix – Maryland’s Prevailing Wage Law.**

Small Business Effect: Small construction contractors will not have to pay prevailing wages to their workers for school construction projects affected by the bill.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: None.

Designated Cross File: None.

Information Source(s): Public School Construction Program; Maryland Department of Labor; Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - February 27, 2022
fnu2/ljm

Analysis by: Michael C. Rubenstein

Direct Inquiries to:
(410) 946-5510
(301) 970-5510

Appendix – Maryland’s Prevailing Wage Law

Contractors and subcontractors working on eligible public works projects in Maryland must pay their employees the prevailing wage rate. “Public works” are structures or works, including a bridge, building, ditch, road, alley, waterwork, or sewage disposal plant, that are constructed for public use or benefit or paid for entirely or in part by public money.

Eligible public works projects are:

- those carried out by the State;
- any public work for which at least 25% of the money used for construction is State money; and
- specified projects in tax increment financing districts if the local governing body approves of the application of prevailing wages.

Any public works contract valued at less than \$250,000 is not required to pay prevailing wages. The State prevailing wage rate also does not apply to (1) any part of a public works contract funded with federal funds for which the contractor must pay the prevailing wage rate determined by the federal government; (2) specified construction projects carried out by public service companies under order of the Public Service Commission; or (3) local House or Senate initiatives that receive State funds in the capital budget.

Prevailing wages are wages paid to at least 50% of workers in a given locality who perform the same or similar work on projects that resemble the proposed public works project. If fewer than 50% of workers in a job category earn the same wage, the prevailing wage is the rate paid to at least 40% of those workers. If fewer than 40% receive the same wage rate, the prevailing wage is calculated using a weighted average of local pay rates. The State Commissioner of Labor and Industry is responsible for determining prevailing wages for each public works project and job category based on annual surveys of contractors and subcontractors working on both public works and private construction projects.

The commissioner has the authority to enforce contractors’ compliance with the prevailing wage law. Contractors found to have violated the prevailing wage law must pay restitution to the employees and liquidated damages to the public body in the amount of \$20 a day for each laborer who is paid less than the prevailing wage or \$250 per laborer per day if the employer knew or reasonably should have known of the obligation to pay the prevailing wage. If an employer fails to comply with an order by the commissioner to pay restitution, either the commissioner or an employee may sue the employer to recover the difference between the prevailing wage and paid wage. The court may order the employer to pay

double or triple damages if it finds that the employer withheld wages or fringe benefits willfully and knowingly or with deliberate ignorance or reckless disregard for the law. The Governor must include at least \$385,000 in the budget each year for the Prevailing Wage Unit within the Maryland Department of Labor (MDL).

The University System of Maryland (USM), Morgan State University (MSU), St. Mary's College of Maryland, and the Maryland Stadium Authority (MSA) are all exempt from the prevailing wage law. However, USM, MSU, and MSA all voluntarily comply with prevailing wage requirements for contracts that exceed the \$250,000 threshold.

History of the Prevailing Wage

The federal Davis-Bacon Act, originally enacted in 1931, requires contractors working on federal public works contracts valued at more than \$2,000 to pay their employees the prevailing local wage for their labor class, as determined by the U.S. Secretary of Labor. The general intent of the law, and similar state and local laws, is to stabilize local wage rates by preventing unfair bidding practices and wage competition. Thirty-two states and the District of Columbia currently have prevailing wage laws; since 1979, nine states have repealed their prevailing wage laws.

Maryland adopted a prevailing wage law in 1945 (Chapter 999), but it only applied to road projects in Allegany, Garrett, and Washington counties. In 1969, the statute was amended to include State public works contracts of \$500,000 or more. There have been periodic changes to the law and the definition of "prevailing wage." In 1983, the law was broadened to include public works projects in which the State funds 50% or more of the total project costs and 75% or more in the case of public schools. Chapter 208 of 2000 reduced the prevailing wage threshold for public schools from 75% to 50% of construction costs, thereby bringing school construction projects in line with prevailing wage requirements for other public works projects. Chapters 281 and 282 of 2014 further lowered the State funding threshold for school construction projects to 25% of total construction costs, thereby requiring the vast majority of public school construction projects in the State to pay the prevailing wage, subject to the \$500,000 contract value threshold. Chapters 57 and 58 of 2021 lowered the State funding threshold for all public works projects (including school construction) to 25% of total construction costs and lowered the contract value threshold for payment of prevailing wages to \$250,000; however, legislative bond initiatives that receive State funds in the capital budget are exempt from the requirement to pay prevailing wages.

The number of prevailing wage projects has risen dramatically in recent years. MDL advises that, during fiscal 2021, its prevailing wage unit monitored 941 projects, down slightly from 1,091 projects in fiscal 2020, but significantly higher than 496 in fiscal 2014. To accommodate the increase in projects, the number of prevailing wage investigators

increased in fiscal 2016 from three to six; as of January 2022, there are four investigators, with a fifth investigator in the latter stages of recruitment.

Six Maryland jurisdictions – Allegany, Baltimore, Charles, Montgomery, and Prince George’s counties and Baltimore City – have local prevailing wage laws requiring public works projects in the jurisdiction to pay prevailing wages. Montgomery County’s prevailing wage ordinance does not apply to school construction projects, but beginning in fiscal 2023, the county will pay prevailing wages on all school construction projects, regardless of the level of State or county participation.

Research on the Effects of Prevailing Wage on Contract Costs

The Department of Legislative Services (DLS) has reviewed research on the effect of prevailing wage laws on the cost of public works contracts and has found inconsistent and/or unreliable results. The primary challenge confronted by all prevailing wage researchers is identifying an appropriate “control group” consisting of projects of similar type, timing, and location that do not pay the prevailing wage. In most jurisdictions that require a prevailing wage, all projects of a specified type and size are subject to it, so there is no natural control group. Some researchers have compared project costs in states or localities before and after they adopted prevailing wage requirements, but their findings are clouded by the difference in time, during which construction costs changed and other factors were not consistent. Another deficiency in the research is that it almost always relies on project bid prices (*i.e.*, the anticipated cost prior to the beginning of construction) rather than actual final costs. As most construction projects experience change orders or cost overruns affecting their cost, reliance on bid prices negatively affects the validity of the findings. Therefore, research findings related to the effect of the prevailing wage on project costs are inconsistent and often inconclusive. A similar review of research conducted by MDL (at the time, the Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation) for the Task Force to Study the Applicability of the Maryland Prevailing Wage Law also concluded that “data limitations create difficulty for researchers on both sides of the issue.”

Local school systems occasionally solicit side-by-side bids with and without prevailing wages to help them decide whether they want to accept the full State match (and, thus, be subject to the prevailing wage) or a lesser State match without being subject to the prevailing wage. Data provided to the Public School Construction Program by Anne Arundel, Carroll, Frederick, Howard, and Washington counties, from 2012 through 2015, shows that the cost differential between bids with and without prevailing wages for 266 individual bids submitted for 26 different school construction and renovation projects averaged 11.7%, with a range from 0% to 49%. As with other research data, these represent bid prices, not actual construction costs. An independent analysis of the Maryland side-by-side bid data concluded that factors other than prevailing wages, including bid

timing and the level of competition for the bids, accounted for most of the differences between the prevailing wage and nonprevailing wage bids.

One area of the research in which there is a general consensus, and which is supported by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, is that labor costs represent between 20% and 30% of construction costs (with materials and site costs making up most of the rest). Therefore, a 10% gap between prevailing wages and market wages could theoretically increase total contract costs by about 2.5%, and a 20% gap in wages could increase total contract costs by about 6%. Given the empirical evidence that prevailing wages tend to be higher than nonprevailing wages and that labor costs are a significant portion of overall project costs, DLS believes that it is reasonable to expect that the prevailing wage requirement adds between 2% and 5% to the cost of a public works project. Given the inconsistency and inconclusiveness of the empirical research, however, actual effects may vary by project, with some projects exhibiting higher cost differences and others experiencing negligible differences.