

Department of Legislative Services
Maryland General Assembly
2015 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE
Revised

Senate Bill 393

(Senator Raskin, *et al.*)

Judicial Proceedings

Judiciary

Criminal Law - Animal Cruelty - Payment of Costs

This bill authorizes a court to order a defendant convicted of specified animal cruelty offenses to pay all reasonable costs incurred in removing, housing, treating, or euthanizing an animal confiscated from the defendant. The order is a condition of sentencing and the payment is in addition to any other fines and costs imposed by the court. The applicable offenses are: (1) animal abuse or neglect; (2) felony aggravated cruelty to animals (general); (3) felony aggravated cruelty to animals (dogfighting and baiting); and (4) felony aggravated cruelty to animals (cockfighting).

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: None. The bill is procedural and does not materially affect State finances.

Local Effect: Potential minimal decrease in local government expenditures to the extent the court-ordered payments defray costs incurred by local government entities that care for confiscated animals.

Small Business Effect: Potential meaningful impact to the extent that court-ordered payments defray costs incurred by small businesses that care for confiscated animals.

Analysis

Current Law: A person is prohibited from abusing or neglecting an animal, which consists of overdriving or overloading an animal; depriving an animal of necessary sustenance; inflicting unnecessary suffering or pain on an animal; or causing, procuring, or authorizing such an act. If a person has custody or charge of an animal, as an owner or otherwise, the person is prohibited from unnecessarily failing to provide sufficient

nutrition, necessary veterinary care, proper drink, air, space, shelter, or protection from the elements. These provisions do not apply to lawful hunting or lawful trapping.

A person who violates these provisions is guilty of a misdemeanor and is subject to maximum penalties of 90 days imprisonment and/or a \$1,000 fine. As a condition of sentencing, the court may order a defendant to participate in and pay for psychological counseling. As a condition of probation, a court may prohibit a defendant from owning, possessing, or residing with an animal.

A person may not intentionally mutilate, torture, cruelly beat, or kill an animal or cause or procure such an act. Except in the case of self-defense, a person may not intentionally inflict bodily harm, permanent disability, or death on an animal owned or used by a law enforcement unit. A person who violates these provisions is guilty of the felony of aggravated cruelty to animals and is subject to maximum penalties of three years imprisonment and/or a \$5,000 fine. As a condition of probation, a court may prohibit a defendant from owning, possessing, or residing with an animal.

A person may not (1) use or allow a dog to be used in a dogfight or for baiting; (2) arrange or conduct a dogfight; (3) possess, own, sell, transport, or train a dog with the intent to use the dog in a dogfight or for baiting; or (4) knowingly allow premises under the person's control to be used for dogfighting or for baiting. A person who violates these provisions is guilty of a felony and is subject to up to three years imprisonment or a maximum fine of \$5,000, or both.

A person may not (1) use or allow the use of a fowl, cock, or other bird to fight with another animal; (2) possess, with an intent to unlawfully use, an implement of cockfighting or any tool designed to enhance a bird's fighting ability for use in a deliberate bird fighting event; (3) arrange or conduct an event where a fowl, cock, or other bird fights with another fowl, cock, or other bird; (4) possess, own, sell, transport, or train a bird with the intent to use the fowl, cock, or other bird in a cockfight; or (5) knowingly allow premises under the person's control to be used for a fowl, cock, or other bird to fight with another fowl, cock, or other bird. A person who violates these provisions is guilty of a felony and may receive up to three years imprisonment, a maximum fine of \$5,000, or both.

The court may order a person convicted of any of these crimes to undergo and pay for psychological counseling.

Local Expenditures: The bill reduces local government expenditures to the extent the court-ordered payments defray costs incurred by local government entities that care for confiscated animals. Based on historical information provided by local jurisdictions in response to similar legislation, the extent of this reduction will vary by county, case, and year.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: SB 37 of 2013 passed the Senate and received a hearing in the House Judiciary Committee. No further action was taken. Its cross file, HB 865, received a hearing in the House Judiciary Committee. No further action was taken. SB 203 of 2012 passed the Senate as amended and received a hearing in the House Judiciary Committee. No further action was taken. Its cross file, HB 484, received a hearing in the House Judiciary Committee, but no further action was taken.

None.

Cross File: HB 362 (Delegate Waldstreicher, *et al.*) - Judiciary.

Information Source(s): Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts), Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - February 25, 2015
min/kdm Revised - Senate Third Reader - March 30, 2015

Analysis by: Amy A. Devadas

Direct Inquiries to:
(410) 946-5510
(301) 970-5510